The Honorable Brenda Mallory, Chair  
Council on Environmental Quality  
730 Jackson Place NW  
Washington, DC 20503


Chair Mallory:

We write to submit comments in response to the Council on Environmental Quality’s (CEQ) request for information (RFI) for the agency’s Environmental Justice Scorecard. Your attention to this matter is appreciated.

The most puzzling initiative of the Environmental Justice (EJ) movement is that at-risk communities should be both shielded from adverse effects of infrastructure and energy production while also reaping the full extent of their benefits. The Biden Administration has made it a goal to “protect” underserved communities¹, yet refuses to acknowledge that affordable and reliable energy production is a key factor that leads to healthier communities, increased incomes, improved education, and economic parity. By shutting down energy and infrastructure projects under the guise of ‘justice,’ the Biden Administration is hurting the communities it claims it is trying to help.

Energy consumption, GDP, and life expectancy are intrinsically tied, as demonstrated by the below graph. Adults living at or below the poverty level are five times more likely to report poor or fair health than those living with incomes above 400 percent of the federal poverty level.

According to RTI Health Solutions, in the 1970s, a man aged 60 who earned in the top half of income in the US could expect to live 1.2 years longer than a man in the lower half. By the

² Figure 3.1, The Moral Case for Fossil Fuels, Alex Epstein, November 13, 2014.
2000s, that number had increased to 5.8 years. If one were serious about helping disadvantaged communities, lowering the cost of energy and increasing necessary infrastructure would be a top priority.

However, the Biden Administration, with the support of extreme environmental groups, has sought to do the opposite. In 2020, a planned natural gas plant in Virginia was shuttered due to EJ concerns. Despite the fact that natural gas is an affordable and reliable source of energy while releasing less CO2 emissions than coal and oil, the plan was stopped by local citizens who claim, “it does nothing for us.” Unfortunately, similar instances are all too common and prevent good paying jobs and resilient infrastructure from being placed in the communities they are most needed.

Further, transmission planning targets the shortest path needed to serve the largest load possible, which often ends up serving urban areas. This has nothing to do with so-called ‘systemic racism’ and everything to do with responsible cost allocation. When infrastructure has to go out of its way to serve a large population, the ratepayers bear the brunt of the increased cost. This means underserved communities are fronting an inflated and unnecessary price for energy and infrastructure which lowers their real income and purchasing power.

In other cases, the projects can’t even begin construction, which reduces supply and increases cost. The Low-Income Housing Assistance Program (LIHEAP) is a canary in the coal mine. This program helps pay energy bills for low-income families, but the cost of energy and the lack of transmission infrastructure in the U.S. is so severe that LIHEAP can only service roughly 16 percent of the households in America that qualify for the program. Furthermore, in 2020, 34 million U.S. households (27 percent of all U.S. households) reported difficulty paying energy bills or reported that they had kept their home at an unsafe temperature because of energy cost concerns. More than one third of Americans say they reduced or skipped basic expenses, such as medicine or food, to pay an energy bill in 2022, and the cost for an average household rose approximately $10,000 in the first two years after President Biden took office. Instead of relying on government subsidies to offset high energy costs, we should be focusing on policies that encourage more U.S. energy production and reduce the cost of energy for all Americans.

---
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Industry is the heart of prosperity. It spurs economic growth, resulting in additional disposable income, increased tax revenue, and improved access to healthcare. China and India, two of the world’s largest fossil fuel producers, are evidence of this. If these nations were subject to Environmental Justice regulations, their GDP and life expectancy would not follow the sharp increase seen in the charts below.

Donna Jackson of Project 21 testified before the House Energy and Commerce Committee in 2023 and succinctly summarized the issue: “In so many ways, plentiful and affordable domestic energy is part of the ticket out of poverty and dependence. For example, we see the entrepreneurial spirit of the Black community in many Black-owned small businesses, but those business struggle and sometimes fail under the weight of expensive energy...Every extra penny struggling households have to spend on expensive energy is a penny we can’t save for home ownership or our children’s education or other things that can help lift up the next generation.”

Thankfully, Mrs. Jackson’s approach is catching on across the country. In California, numerous civil rights leaders, representing some of the most progressive institutions in the nation, are suing...
the state for its climate and energy policies which disproportionately harm underserved communities\textsuperscript{10}.

Your agency has requested feedback for its Environmental Justice Scorecard that includes requests for quantifiable metrics and additional categories that can be used to make the scorecard more effective. This is an ironic request, however, given that the ‘scorecard’ actually represents how the Biden Administration is successfully opposing necessary infrastructure and harming underserved communities. Therefore, we request that CEQ include the following in their scorecard.

1. An analysis into why permitting processes and project reviews under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) force additional infrastructure into areas that already have preexisting infrastructure.
2. A study that explores life-endangering behavior of those who do not have access to affordable, reliable energy.
3. A detailed study into how reliable and affordable energy increases access to healthcare and increases life expectancy.
4. Detailed monthly breakdowns of rate increases across the United States and what impacts these rate increases have on low-income communities.
5. Accurately detail the criteria which determine whether an at-risk community is experiencing energy poverty.

If the true aim of your agency is to assist underserved communities, then efforts to block energy projects from being completed under the guise of environmental ‘justice’ should be reversed. Unleashing American energy independence and streamlining regulatory hurdles to expedite energy projects will lift more people out of poverty than spending taxpayer dollars on frivolous scorecards.

Sincerely,

_________________________                                                    _________________________
Chairman, Congressional Western Caucus                               Minnesota’s 8\textsuperscript{th} District

\textsuperscript{10} Sweeping Civil Rights Lawsuit Alleges Racial Bias In Implementation Of California Climate Policies, Forbes, Michael Shellenberger, September 13, 2018.
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